UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,653	03/29/2004	Charles M. Lieber	H0498.70112US01	3416		
Timothy J. Oye	7590 01/02/200 r. Ph.D.	EXAMINER				
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210			WEISS, HOWARD			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2814			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/02/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/812,653		LIEBER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Howard Weiss		2814			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CON. 136(a). In no event, howeved will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to the second control of the control o	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from the Decome ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this o			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 (October 2008					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 123 is/are pending in the application	1.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	S)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>123</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		cted to by the E	xaminer.			
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		• , ,	-	. ,	FR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic 2) ☐ Notic 3) ☑ Infori		4)	nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat lotice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) e			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Application/Control Number: 10/812,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: H0498.70112US01

Filing Date: 3/29/04

Continuing Data: Continuation of 10/033,369 (10/24/01 now U.S. Patent No. 6,781,166)

which is a Continuation of PCT/US00/18138 (6/30/00) and claims

benefit of 60/142,216 (7/2/99); RCE established 11/2/2007

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Lieber et al. (Rueckes, Joselevich, Kim)

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 123 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melzner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,774,414) and Brandes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,445,006).

Melzner et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figures 2 and 3) including an electrical crossbar array comprising a plurality of crossed conductors **3,11** defining memory elements switchable, via the application of electrical

Application/Control Number: 10/812,653

Art Unit: 2814

potentials, between two readable states **U,O**, one of the wires deformable (i.e. movable) from a first position to a second position to turn "on" or turn "off said memory elements.

Page 3

Melzner et al. do not show the one of the conductors to be a nanotube. Brandes et al. teach (e.g. Figure 9 and Column 2 Lines 31 to 40) to use nanotubes in MEMS devices to capitalize on the semiconducting properties of carbon nanotubes (Column 8 lines 1 and 2) and their unique mechanical and electrical properties (Column 8 Lines 62 to 66). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have wires in electrical or Van der Waals contact and made of nanoscopic single or multiwall carbon nanotubes and auxiliary circuitry including transistors, capacitors and contact electrodes as taught by Brandes et al. in the device of Melzner et al. to capitalize on the semiconducting properties of carbon nanotubes.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner's arguments in previous office actions are still relevant and are considered repeated herein. The Applicants state that the nanotubes in Brandes et al cannot be incorporated into the device of Melzner et al. since the movable conductors in Melzner et al. device have both ends fixed while the nanotubes in the MEMS devices of Brandes et al. are required to have only one fixed end and not under any compressive stress. First, the characterization that Brandes et al. teaches that the nanotubes can not be fixed on both ends is incorrect. The embodiments shown in Brandes et al. (Figures 11 to 13) are "various illustrative microelectromechanical devices produced using carbon nanotubes" (Column 9 Lines 1 to 3) and are not limiting to other variations, modifications and other embodiments suggested by themselves to those of ordinary skill in the art (Column 10 Lines 17 to 24). These embodiments do illustrate the flexibility and versatility of nanotubes as main agents in microelectromechanical devices properties directly

Application/Control Number: 10/812,653

Art Unit: 2814

applicable to the device of Melzner et al. Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. *In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971).*

Additionally, all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the are could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention. See Supreme Court Decision in KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. --, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007). In view of these reasons and those set forth in the present office action, the rejections of the stated claims stand.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- 6. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.

8. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date	
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/211; 365/151; 977/ 932	Thru 12/29/2008	
Other Documentation: none		
Electronic Database(s): EAST	Thru 12/29/2008	

HW/hw 2 January 2009

Art Unit: 2814

/Howard Weiss/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814